

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,469	03/01/2004	Mark Deem	020979-001910US	2103
	7590 06/29/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	·	EXAMINER	
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER		EREZO, D	OARWIN P
EIGHTH FLOO SAN FRANCIS	SCO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3731	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\mathcal{H} .				
	Applic	eation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		1,469	DEEM ET AL.			
		ner	Art Unit			
		P. Erezo	3731			
The MAILING DATE of this cor Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM T - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the mailing date of the lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maxing Failure to reply within the set or extended period for any reply received by the Office later than three nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	HE MAILING DATE OF invisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n is communication. mum statutory period will apply an or reply will, by statute, cause the nonths after the mailing date of th	THIS COMMUNICATION of event, however, may a reply be tire and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication	s) filed on .			•		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action	s non-final.				
3) Since this application is in cond	·—					
Disposition of Claims		,		•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-54 are subject to res	_ is/are withdrawn from to.					
Application Papers			·			
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on is	•	b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any	objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc	•		•	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a call a) All b) Some * c) None 1. Certified copies of the properties of the properties of the properties of the certified copies of the properties of the certified copies of the properties of the p	of: iority documents have to iority documents have to pies of the priority documentional Bureau (PCT)	peen received. Deen received in Applicati Deen receive Deen receive Deen receive	ion No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Helerences Cited (F10-992) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Do Notice of Informal P Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,469 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-25 and 33-41, drawn to a stent-graft device, classified in class
 623, subclass 1.13.
- II. Claims 26-32, drawn to a stent, classified in class 623, subclass 1.15.
- III. Claims 42-54, drawn to a method for treating an abdominal aortic aneurysm, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed, and the subcombination has separate utility such as a blood vessel filter.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or

Art Unit: 3731

includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, the product can be used to repair/support any other vascular system in the body other than an aortic aneurysm.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their

Art Unit: 3731

recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Examiner Art Unit 3731